

CEA I PV MAGAZINE PROGRAM TEST REPORT

SUPPLIER | JA Solar

Author: George Touloupas

Date: 2 July 2021

Form Version: V1.1



陕西众森电能科技有限公司
GSOLAR POWER CO.,LTD

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SCORING SYSTEM	3
2.1. Test flowchart and protocol	3
2.2. Scoring methodology.....	4
3. TEST DETAILS	5
3.1. Visual inspection.....	6
3.2. EL image Inspection.....	7
3.3. Low irradiance efficiency loss test.....	7
3.4. Pmax temperature coefficient test	9
3.5. PID loss test	10
3.6. Score overview	11
Appendix 1 - JAM72S20-445-MB Datasheet	13

Table 1 Test/inspection grading system overview	4
Table 2 Detailed scoring system.....	4
Table 3 Test sample information.....	5
Table 4 Product information	5
Table 5 Product picture	6
Table 6 Visual inspection results	6
Table 7 EL image inspection results	7
Table 8 Low irradiance test results	8
Table 9 Pmax temperature coefficient test result	9
Table 10 PID loss test result	10
Table 11 Bifaciality ratio test results	11
Figure 1 Test flowchart.....	3
Figure 2 Product nameplate	6
Figure 4 Visual and EL inspection results	7
Figure 5 Low irradiance test result.....	8
Figure 6 Pmax temperature coefficient test result	9
Figure 7 PID loss test result	10
Figure 8 Test results overview.....	11
Figure 9 Average test grade	12

1. INTRODUCTION

As part of CEA's engagement in developing and supervising PV Magazine's test program at Gsola, CEA has developed a testing protocol and flowchart, a scoring system, a methodology and a reporting structure that it will be used to run this program. This report presents the test results and scoring grades for this product.

2. SCORING SYSTEM

2.1. Test flowchart and protocol

The following is a high-level flowchart of the testing procedure, describing the steps, and tests to be followed. Detailed checklists have been delivered to Gsola, that will also serve as records of the process.




Figure 1 Test flowchart

2.2. Scoring methodology

For every product, 5 samples have been shipped to Gsola's lab to conduct the tests and inspections according to the above flowchart.

The following table describes the inspections and tests that have been applied on all products:

Table 1 Test/inspection grading system overview

	Test/inspection	# of samples	Method	Values	Average grade weight	Grades
1	Visual inspection	5	Inspection	RPN Scores	10%	1-100
2	EL image inspection	5	Inspection	RPN Scores	10%	1-100
3	Low irradiance efficiency loss	1	Test	%	25%	1-100
4	Pmax Temperature coefficient	1	Test	%/°C	25%	1-100
5	PID loss	1	Test	%	30%	1-100
6	LID loss (optional)	1	Test	%	NA	1-100
7	Outdoor installation and yield measurement	1	Energy Yield Monitoring	Periodic kWh/kWp	NA	NA

Notes:

- The RPN scoring method has been developed by CEA and is used to evaluate and create risk scores of Visual and EL defects.
- The weights are used to calculate the average grade for tests 1-5.

A number within the 1-100 range will be used to grade the results, so that the overall ranking of the products will reflect general industry practices and requirements:

Table 2 Detailed scoring system

	Grade range:	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0
1	Visual inspection (RPN scores)	0	0.74	2.20	4.39	7.30	10.94	15.30	20.39	26.20	32.74	≥ 40
2	EL image (RPN scores)	0.00	2.03	4.62	7.75	11.43	15.65	20.43	25.75	31.62	38.03	≥ 45.00
3	Low irradiance loss	≤ -2.00%	-0.02%	1.78%	3.41%	4.87%	6.16%	7.27%	8.21%	8.98%	9.58%	≥ 10.00%
4	Pmax Temp. coefficient	≥ -0.300%	-0.343%	-0.382%	-0.417%	-0.448%	-0.475%	-0.498%	-0.517%	-0.532%	-0.543%	≤ -0.550%
5	PID loss	≤ 0.0%	0.7%	1.6%	2.7%	4.0%	5.5%	7.2%	9.1%	11.2%	13.5%	≥ 16.0%
6	LID loss (optional)	≤ -0.50%	0.35%	1.20%	2.05%	2.90%	3.75%	4.60%	5.45%	6.30%	7.15%	≥ 8.00%

Notes:

- The Visual and EL Inspection RPN scores will be divided by the number of samples, to normalize the score, as the total number of samples may vary.
- The correspondence of the scores/test results to the grades follows a binomial or linear relationship, anchored to certain key values that are generally accepted and employed in the PV industry. For example, a PID loss of 5%, which is the pass/fail threshold of the related IEC standard, will give a grade close to 50. In this sense, grades below 50 indicate a product performance that is below a generally acceptable threshold.

The scoring system shown in Table 2 is preliminary, and will be adjusted as the testing program develops, in order to better reflect the products standing per industry standards.

3. TEST DETAILS

A sample lot consists of 5 modules, one of which has been used as a spare for the chamber and outdoor testing, in case a module is accidentally damaged during handling at the lab. Refer to Table 3 and Table 4 for test sample and product information.

Table 3 Test sample information

Sample #	Serial number
1	208M6T72W1012347
2	208M6T72W1014650
3	208M6T72W1014739
4	208M6T72W1015167
5	208M6T72W1014662

Table 4 Product information

Model	JAM72S20-445-MR
Cell technology	Mono PERC
Cell number	144
Cell format	166 × 83 mm
Number of busbars	9
Junction box	IP68 rated
Laminate construction	Framed glass/Backsheet




Figure 2 Product nameplate

3.1. Visual inspection

All 5 modules of each product sample lot have undergone visual inspection, according to CEA's quality criteria for visual inspection. The defects found has been evaluated according to CEA's scoring system. The scoring system is a modified version of CEA's proprietary RPN (risk priority number) system, based on the formula $RPN \text{ score} = \text{Severity} \times \text{Detectability}$.

Table 5 Product picture



The following table shows the visual inspection results, normalized for the number of tested modules:

Table 6 Visual inspection results

JAM72S20-445-MB	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Score	Grade
Visual inspection	None	None	None	None	None	0	100

3.2. EL image Inspection

The same sample lot was inspected for EL defects.

Table 7 shows the EL inspection results normalized for the number of tested modules. Visual and EL inspection scores are shown below in




Figure 3.

Table 7 EL image inspection results

JAM72S20-445-MB	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Score	Grade
EL image inspection	None	None	None	None	None	0	100




Figure 3 Visual and EL inspection results

3.3. Low irradiance efficiency loss test

The efficiency loss is calculated by the following formula:

$$\text{Efficiency loss} = 1 - \left[\left(\frac{\text{Pmax at low irradiance conditions}}{\text{Pmax at STC}} \right) * \left(\frac{1,000}{200} \right) \right]$$

Table 8 and Figure 4 show the low irradiance efficiency test results for the front side.

Table 8 Low irradiance test results

JAM72S20-445-MB	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Grade
Front side low irradiance efficiency loss (%)	5.57%					55




Figure 4 Low irradiance test result

3.4. Pmax temperature coefficient test

Table 9 and Figure 5 depict the Pmax temperature coefficient test results.

Table 9 Pmax temperature coefficient test result

JAM72S20-445-MB	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Grade
Pmax Temperature coefficient (%/°C)	-0.33%					93




Figure 5 Pmax temperature coefficient test result

3.5. PID loss test

Table 10 and Figure 6 depicts the PID loss test results for the front side at **1500 V**:

Table 10 PID loss test result

JAM72S20-445-MB	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Grade
Front side PID loss (%)		1.36%				83




Figure 6 PID loss test result

3.6. Score overview

Figure 7 shows the overview of the test scores. Figure 8 shows the average score.




Figure 7 Test results overview

NOTE: The Average grade does **NOT** include the LID test, as it is optional and not performed for all products.





Figure 8 Average test grade

Appendix 1 - JAM72S20-445-MB Datasheet



Harvest the Sunshine

Mono

470W MBB Half-Cell Module

JAM72S20 445-470/MR Series

Introduction

Assembled with multi-busbar PERC cells, the half-cell configuration of the modules offers the advantages of higher power output, better temperature-dependent performance, reduced shading effect on the energy generation, lower risk of hot spot, as well as enhanced tolerance for mechanical loading.



Higher output power



Lower LCOE



Less shading and lower resistive loss




Better mechanical loading tolerance

Superior Warranty

- 12-year product warranty
- 25-year linear power output warranty

0.55% Annual Degradation
Over 25 years



Comprehensive Certificates

- IEC 61215, IEC 61730, UL 61215, UL 61730
- ISO 9001: 2015 Quality management systems
- ISO 14001: 2015 Environmental management systems
- ISO 45001:2018 Occupational health and safety management systems
- IEC TS 62941: 2016 Terrestrial photovoltaic (PV) modules – Guidelines for increased confidence in PV module design qualification and type approval



JA SOLAR

www.jasolar.com


Specifications subject to technical changes and tests.
JA Solar reserves the right of final interpretation.



JA SOLAR

JAM72S20 445-470/MR Series

MECHANICAL DIAGRAMS



Remark: customized frame color and cable length available upon request

SPECIFICATIONS

Cell	Mono
Weight	24.7kg±3%
Dimensions	2112±2mm×1052±2mm×35±1mm
Cable Cross Section Size	4mm ² (IEC) , 12 AWG(UL)
No. of cells	144 (6×24)
Junction Box	IP68, 3 diodes
Connector	QC 4.10(1000V) QC 4.10-35(1500V)
Cable Length (Including Connector)	Portrait: 300mm(+)/400mm(-); Landscape: 1200mm(+)/1200mm(-)
Packaging Configuration	31pcs/pallet 682pcs/40ft Container

ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS AT STC

TYPE	JAM72S20 -445/MR	JAM72S20 -450/MR	JAM72S20 -455/MR	JAM72S20 -460/MR	JAM72S20 -465/MR	JAM72S20 -470/MR
Rated Maximum Power(P _{max}) [W]	445	450	455	460	465	470
Open Circuit Voltage(V _{oc}) [V]	49.56	49.70	49.85	50.01	50.15	50.31
Maximum Power Voltage(V _{mp}) [V]	41.21	41.52	41.82	42.13	42.43	42.69
Short Circuit Current(I _{sc}) [A]	11.32	11.36	11.41	11.45	11.49	11.53
Maximum Power Current(I _{mp}) [A]	10.80	10.84	10.88	10.92	10.96	11.01
Module Efficiency [%]	20.0	20.3	20.5	20.7	20.9	21.2
Power Tolerance	0~+5W					
Temperature Coefficient of I _{sc} (α _{Isc})	+0.044%/°C					
Temperature Coefficient of V _{oc} (β _{Voc})	-0.272%/°C					
Temperature Coefficient of P _{max} (γ _{Pmp})	-0.350%/°C					
STC	Irradiance 1000W/m ² , cell temperature 25°C, AM1.5G					


Remark: Electrical data in this catalog do not refer to a single module and they are not part of the offer. They only serve for comparison among different module types.

ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS AT NOCT


TYPE	JAM72S20 -445/MR	JAM72S20 -450/MR	JAM72S20 -455/MR	JAM72S20 -460/MR	JAM72S20 -465/MR	JAM72S20 -470/MR	Maximum System Voltage	1000V/1500V DC
Rated Max Power(Pmax) [W]	336	340	344	348	352	355	Operating Temperature	-40 C ~+85 C
Open Circuit Voltage(Voc) [V]	46.65	46.90	47.15	47.38	47.61	47.84	Maximum Series Fuse Rating	20A
Max Power Voltage(Vmp) [V]	38.95	39.19	39.44	39.68	39.90	40.10	Maximum Static Load,Front* Maximum Static Load,Back*	5400Pa(112 lb/ft²) 2400Pa(50 lb/ft²)
Short Circuit Current(Isc) [A]	9.20	9.25	9.29	9.33	9.38	9.42	NOCT	45±2 C
Max Power Current(Imp) [A]	8.64	8.68	8.72	8.76	8.81	8.86	Safety Class	Class II
NOCT	Irradiance 800W/m², ambient temperature 20°C,wind speed 1m/s, AM1.5G						Fire Performance	UL Type 1

CHARACTERISTICS


Current-Voltage Curve JAM72S20-455/MR



Power-Voltage Curve JAM72S20-455/MR



Current-Voltage Curve JAM72S20-455/MR



Premium Cells, Premium Modules

Version No. : Global_EN_20201118A