

CEA | PV MAGAZINE PROGRAM TEST REPORT

SUPPLIER | NSP

Author: George Touloupas

Date: 28 June 2018

Version: V2.1



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	3
2. SCORING SYSTEM	3
2.1. Test flowchart and protocol	3
2.2. Scoring methodology	4
3. TEST RESULTS	5
3.1. Visual inspection	5
3.2. EL image Inspection	6
3.3. Low irradiance efficiency loss	7
3.4. Pmax temperature coefficient	8
3.5. PID loss	9
3.6. LID loss	10
3.7. Bifaciality ratio	10
3.8. Comparison charts and average grade	11


1. INTRODUCTION

As part of CEA’s engagement in developing and supervising PV Magazine’s test program at Gsola, CEA has developed a testing protocol and flowchart, a scoring system, a methodology and a reporting structure that it will be used to run this program. This report presents the test results and scoring grades for this product.

2. SCORING SYSTEM

2.1. Test flowchart and protocol

The following is a high-level flowchart of the testing procedure, describing the steps, and tests to be followed. Detailed checklists have been delivered to Gsola, that will also serve as records of the process.



2.2. Scoring methodology

For every product, 5 samples have been shipped to Gsola’s lab to conduct the tests and inspections according to the above flowchart.

The following table describes the inspections and tests that have been applied on all products:

	Test/inspection	# of samples	Method	Values	Average grade weight	Grades
1	Visual inspection	5	Inspection	RPN Scores	10%	1-100
2	EL image inspection	5	Inspection	RPN Scores	10%	1-100
3	Low irradiance efficiency loss	1	Test	%	25%	1-100
4	Pmax Temperature coefficient	1	Test	%/°C	25%	1-100
5	PID loss	1	Test	%	30%	1-100
6	LID loss (optional)	1	Test	%	NA	1-100
7	Outdoor installation and yield measurement	1	Energy Yield Monitoring	Periodic kWh/kWp	NA	NA

Notes:

1. The RPN scoring method has been developed by CEA and is used to evaluate and create risk scores of Visual and EL defects.
2. The weights are used to calculate the average grade for tests 1-5.

A number within the 1-100 range will be used to grade the results, so that the overall ranking of the products will reflect general industry practices and requirements:

	Grade range:	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0
1	Visual inspection (RPN scores)	0	0.74	2.20	4.39	7.30	10.94	15.30	20.39	26.20	32.74	≥ 40
2	EL image (RPN scores)	0.00	2.03	4.62	7.75	11.43	15.65	20.43	25.75	31.62	38.03	≥ 45.00
3	Low irradiance loss	≤ -2.00%	-0.02%	1.78%	3.41%	4.87%	6.16%	7.27%	8.21%	8.98%	9.58%	≥ 10.00%
4	Pmax Temp. coefficient	≥ -0.300%	-0.343%	-0.382%	-0.417%	-0.448%	-0.475%	-0.498%	-0.517%	-0.532%	-0.543%	≤ -0.550%
5	PID loss	≤ 0.0%	0.7%	1.6%	2.7%	4.0%	5.5%	7.2%	9.1%	11.2%	13.5%	≥ 16.0%
6	LID loss (optional)	≤ -0.50%	0.35%	1.20%	2.05%	2.90%	3.75%	4.60%	5.45%	6.30%	7.15%	≥ 8.00%

Notes:

1. The Visual and EL Inspection RPN scores will be divided by the number of samples, to normalize the score, as the total number of samples may vary.
2. The correspondence of the scores/test results to the grades follows a binomial or linear relationship, anchored to certain key values that are generally accepted and employed in the PV industry. For example, a PID loss of 5%, which is the pass/fail threshold of the related IEC standard, will give a grade close to 50. In this sense, grades below 50 indicate a product performance that is below a generally acceptable threshold.

The above grading ranges are preliminary, and will be adjusted as the testing program develops, in order to better reflect the products standing per industry standards.

3. TEST RESULTS

The below tables and charts show the scoring of the tested product.

A sample lot consists of 5 modules, one of which has been used as a spare for the chamber and outdoor testing, in case a module is accidentally damaged during handling at the lab.

The following samples were received:

Sample #	Serial Number	Product code
1	1F183028130948	D6L295K3A
2	1F183027120067	D6L295K3A
3	1F183027120336	D6L295K3A
4	1F183014110643	D6L295K3A
5	1F183027121384	D6L295K3A


3.1. Visual inspection

All 5 modules of each product sample lot have undergone visual inspection, according to CEA’s quality criteria for visual inspection, and any defect found has been scored according to CEA’s scoring system. The scoring system is a modified version of CEA’s proprietary RPN (risk priority number) system, based on the formula $RPN\ score = Severity \times Detectability$.

The following table shows the Visual Inspection results, normalized for the number of tested modules:

D6L295K3A	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Score	Grade
Visual inspection	None	B5/Mi	None	None	None	3.0	76

Pictures of the defects:

Defect type	Sample	Defect description	Image
B5/Minor	Sample 2	Ribbon misalignment	


3.2. EL image Inspection


The same sample lot was inspected for EL defects.

This table shows the EL inspection results normalized for the number of tested modules:

D6L295K3A	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Score	Grade
EL image inspection	H2/Ma	None	None	None	None	5.6	77

Pictures of the defects:

Defect type	Sample	Defect description	Image
H2/Ma	Sample 1	Micro cracks	



3.3. Low irradiance efficiency loss


The table below depicts the low irradiance efficiency test results for the front side:

D6L295K3A	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Grade
Front side low irradiance efficiency loss (%)			-2.87%			100

The efficiency loss is calculated by the formula:

$$\text{Efficiency loss} = 1 - \left[\left(\frac{\text{Pmax at low irradiance conditions}}{\text{Pmax at STC}} \right) * \left(\frac{1,000}{200} \right) \right]$$

The chart below depicts the grade:



The table below depicts the low irradiance efficiency test results for the rear side:

D6L295K3A	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Grade
Rear side low irradiance efficiency loss (%)			-4.54%			NA


The rear side low irradiance results are not graded.

3.4. Pmax temperature coefficient

The table below depicts the Pmax temperature coefficient test results:

D6L295K3A	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Grade
Pmax Temperature coefficient (%/°C)				-0.41%		72

The chart below depicts the grade:




3.5. PID loss

The table below depicts the PID loss test results for the front side:

D6L295K3A	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Grade
Front side PID loss (%)		3.54%				64

The chart below depicts the grade:



The table below depicts the PID loss test results for the rear side:

D6L295K3A	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Grade
Rear side PID loss (%)		8.93%				NA


The rear side PID results are not graded.

3.6. LID loss

The table below depicts the LID loss test results for the front side:

D6L295K3A	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Grade
Front side LID loss (%)	1.36%					83

The chart below depicts the grade:



The table below depicts the LID loss test results for the rear side:

D6L295K3A	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Grade
Rear side LID loss (%)	2.45%					NA

The rear side PID results are not graded.

3.7. Bifaciality ratio


The table below depicts the bifaciality ratio test results:

D6L295K3A	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	Sample 5	Average
Bifaciality ratio (%)	65.2%	65.3%	66.2%	65.6%	65.6%	65.6%

The bifaciality ratio is calculated by the formula: Bifaciality ratio = (Pmax STC rear surface / Pmax STC front surface) x 100%.

The bifaciality ratio results are not graded.

3.8. Comparison charts and average grade



NOTE: The Average grade does **NOT** include the LID test, as it is optional and not performed for all products.

